US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460



OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Review Studies of Sencor

DP Barcode: 166533

ID No: 101101

FROM: Douglas J. Urban, Acting Chief /

Ecological Effects Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects Division (H7507C)

TO: Walter Waldrop, PM 71

Reregistration Branch

Special Review/Reregistration Branch (H7508W)

BACKGROUND

In order to reregister Sencor, Mobay Chemical Corporation has sent two estuary/marine studies for review:

72-3A Mollusc 96-hour flow-through shell deposition with Eastern oyster

Dionne, Emily, and Suprenant, Donald C. Acute Toxicity of Sencor to Eastern Oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*). Study performed by Springborn Bionomics, Inc. 790 Main Street, Wareham, Massachusetts 02571 in March 1986 for Mobay Chemical Corporation, Stillwell, Kansas. MRID No. 470234-011. Toxicology Report No. 728. DP Barcode 166533 STUDY No. 91755.

72-3B: Sheepshead Minnow 96-hour static acute

Nicholson, Richard, and Suprenant, Donald C. Acute Toxicity of Sencor to Sheepshead Minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus). Study performed by Springborn Bionomics, Inc. 790 Main Street, Wareham, Massachusetts 02571 in March 1986 for Mobay Chemical Corporation, Stillwell, Kansas. MRID No. 470234-012. Toxicology Report No. 729. DP Barcode 166533 STUDY No. 91756.

REVIEW SUMMARY

SPECIES	TEST MATERIAL	RESULTS	MRID#	AUTHOR	FULFILLS REQMNTS.
Mollusc	Sencor (92.6%)	96 Hour EC ₅₀ =52ppm	470234- 011	Nicholson & Suprenant	No ¹
Sheepshead	Sencor (92.6%)	96 Hour LC ₅₀ =52ppm	470234- 012	Nicholson & Suprenant	No ¹

¹ The raw data is needed for this study to be completely evaluated.

REQUIREMENTS FOR REGRISTRATION

In addition to the raw data for the mollusc and sheephead studies, the following animal studies are still required:

- 71-2B Acute avian dietary with the mallard
- 72-4A Fish Early life stage
- 72-4B Invertebrate life cycle

Three plant studies are also still required:

- 123-1A Seed germination/seedling emergence
- 123-1B Vegetative vigor
- 123-2 Aquatic plant growth

If you have any questions, please call Heather Mansfield (557-0064).

D166533
DPBARCODE (RECORD)
101101
SHAUGHNESSY NO

REVIEW NO.

EEB REVIEW

DATE IN: 07-07-91 OUT:
CASE # : 819350 REREG CASE #: SUBMISSION # : S399515 LIST A B C D ID # : 101101
DATE OF SUBMISSION03-01-86
DATE RECEIVED BY EFED
SRRD/RD REQUESTED COMPLETION DATE09-24-91
EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE09-24-91
SRRD/RD ACTION CODE/TYPE OF REVIEW 602 - Phase 3 Response
MRID #(S)
DP TYPE 001 - Submission Related Data Package
PRODUCT MANAGER, NO W. Waldrop (71)
PRODUCT NAME(S)Sencor
TYPE PRODUCT F R I N H D <u>Herbicide</u>
COMPANY NAME Mobay Chemical Corp.
SUBMISSION PURPOSE <u>Review data and update status of</u>
INCLUDE USE(S) <u>EEB data requirements</u>
COMMON CHEMICAL NAME Metribuzin

DATA EVALUATION REPORT

- 1. Chemical: Sencor/101101
- 2. Test Material: Technical; 92.6% a.i. white/grayish powder
- 3. Study Type: Mollusc 96-hour flow through shell deposition
- 4. Study Identification: Dionne, Emily, and Suprenant, Donald C. Acute Toxicity of Sencor to Eastern Oysters (Crassostrea virginica). Study performed by Springborn Bionomics, Inc. 790 Main Street, Wareham, Massachusetts 02571 in March 1986 for Mobay Chemical Corporation, Stillwell, Kansas. MRID No. 470234-011. Toxicology Report No. 728. DP Barcode 166533 STUDY No. 91755.

5. Reviewed By: Heather N. Mansfield, Zoologist Ecological Effects Branch Environmental Fate and Effects Division Signature: Healthe Marshull

Date: 9/12/9/

Signature: Ollen W. Vauglan

6. Approved by: Norman J. Cook, Head, Section 2 Ecological effects Branch Environmental Fate and Effects Division

Date:

7. Conclusions: This study has been reviewed and has been found to be scientifically sound. It does not, however, fulfill the requirements for a mollusc shell deposition study as the raw data was not submitted.

The reported results indicate that Sencor slightly toxic to the Eastern oyster

The unconfirmed NOEC is < 33 ppm and the study author's EC₅₀ is 52 ppm, with a confidence interval of 42-26 ppm. Raw data is necessary for EEB to confirm.

- 8. Recommendations: EEB needs the raw data on mortality and shell deposition at all test concentrations in order to do a complete evaluation of this study.
- 9. Background: This study was reviewed as a part of the reregistration of Metribuzen.

Jun 92

10. Discussion of individual studies: N/A

11. Materials and Methods:

Test Material: Sencor

Test Organism: Eastern Oyster

Source: Aquaculture Research Corporation, Dennis
Massachusetts--reared in natural flowing

seawater from Massachusetts Bay

Size (mean height): $39 \pm 4mm$

Shipping: Oysters were outside of water for no more than two hours

Acclimation: 7 days
Oysters were held in a wooden, epoxy-painted
tray through which sea water was continuously
pumped. Two days before the start of the
test, the oysters were cleaned of attached
organisms

Container: Glass aquaria 60 x 30 x 30 cm

Test Solution Volume: ≈ 18 L

Test dilution water: unfiltered seawater from Cape Cod Canal, Bourne, Massachusetts (originating either from Buzzards Bay or from Massachusetts Bay)

Preparation of solution: 100 mg/L stock solution made by dissolving ≈ 54 g Sencor in 500 L unfiltered sea water and mixing for two hours.

Nominal concentrations: 100, 56, 31, 18, and 9.8 ppm.

Concentration: Measured day 0 and day 4

density was 10 cells/mL.

Salinity: 32 ppt

Temperature: 20 C±2

Photoperiod: 16:8 light (fluorescent):dark

Flow speed (test solution to each aquarium): 75 mL/min Flow speed (recirculating test solution): 1.75 L/min

Control(s): Unfiltered sea water
Replicates: 2 per treatment level
Organisms per treatment level: 20 per replicate
Feeding: Before and during the study, oysters were fed
algae (Isochrysis galbana) such that the

Organism preparation: 24 hrs. before test initiation, 2-5 mm of peripheral shell growth was ground off of each oyster. Immediately before test initiation, the shell edge was buffed. At test start, oysters were spaced equally and situated with their valve openings toward the flow of the circulator tube.

12. Reported Results:

DO: 90-100 % pH: 7.6 -.8.0

Mean measured concentrations of Sencor ranged from 90-16 ppm (table 1, attached).

New shell growth was reduced by concentrations of Sencor \geq 33 ppm. Oysters exposed to 16 ppm showed no reduction while oysters exposed to 90 ppm showed 100 % reduction (table 2, attached).

13. Study Author's Conclusions/Quality Assurance Measures:

96 hour EC₅₀: 52 ppm

95% Confidence Limits: 42-46 ppm.

NOEC: <33 ppm

A GLP statement complying with FIFR, 40 CFR part 160 was signed by the study director, Mr. Robert E. Bently. A quality assurance statement was included and a quality assurance audit was performed to ensure that the study was conducted in accordance with Good Laboratory Practice regulations and the protocols for individual laboratory studies.

14. Reviewer's Discussion:

A. Test Procedure-The test procedures were in accordance with Subdivision E and SEP guidelines with the following exceptions:

No raw data was submitted.

Either there was no adjustment period or no mention of an adjustment period between light and dark.

Temperature was monitored daily, not hourly as suggested by SEP guidelines.

- B. Statistical Analysis No statistical analysis could be performed as the raw data was not submitted.
- C. Discussion of Results The unconfirmed EC_{50} was 52 ppm, with a 95% confidence interval of 42-46 ppm.

The study author's NOEC was < 33 ppm.

The lack of adjustment period between light and dark and daily monitoring of temperature rather than hourly, are not thought to have affected the results of the study as the control was subjected to the same treatment.

The results indicate that Sencor is slightly toxic to the Eastern oyster.

D. Category of Study- Supplemental

Rationale: Raw data is necessary for a statistical analysis to be performed.

Repairable: Yes, if raw data is submitted.

15. Completion of One Liner: Completed, August 13, 1991.

16. CBI Attachments: N/A

gge	is not included in this copy.
age:	through 9 are not included.
he nfo	material not included contains the following type of rmation:
	Identity of product inert ingredients.
· ·	Identity of product impurities.
•	Description of the product manufacturing process.
	Description of quality control procedures.
	Identity of the source of product ingredients.
	Sales or other commercial/financial information.
	A draft product label.
	The product confidential statement of formula.
	Information about a pending registration action.
	FIFRA registration data.
,	The document is a duplicate of page(s)
	The document is not responsive to the request.
	information not included is generally considered confidentia

DATA EVALUATION REPORT

- 1. Chemical: Sencor/101101
- 3. Study Type: Sheepshead Minnow 96-hour static acute
- 4. Study Identification: Nicholson, Richard, and Suprenant, Donald C. Acute Toxicity of Sencor to Sheepshead Minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus). Study performed by Springborn Bionomics, Inc. 790 Main Street, Wareham, Massachusetts 02571 in March 1986 for Mobay Chemical Corporation, Stillwell, Kansas. MRID No. 470234-012. Toxicology Report No. 729. DP Barcode 166533 STUDY No. 91756.
- 5. Reviewed By:
 Heather N. Mansfield, Zoologist
 Ecological Effects Branch
 Environmental Fate and Effects Division

Signature: Heather Manquis.

Date: 9/12/91

6. Approved by:
Norman J. Cook, Head, Section 2
Ecological effects Branch
Environmental Fate and Effects Division

Signature: allen W. Vauglan

Date: 9.12.91

7. Conclusions: This study has been reviewed and has been found to be scientifically sound. It does not, however, fulfill the requirements for an estuarine/marine fish study as the raw data was not submitted.

The reported results indicate that Sencor slightly toxic to the Sheepshead minnow.

The EC_{50} is 85 ppm, with a confidence interval of 60-102 ppm. The NOEC is 60 ppm.

- 8. Recommendations: EEB needs the raw data on mortality and effects of Sencor at all test concentrations in order to do a complete evaluation of this study.
- 9. Background: This study was reviewed as a part of the reregistration of Metribuzen.
- 10. Discussion of individual studies: N/A

11. Materials and Methods:

Test Material: Sencor

Test Organism: Sheepshead minnow

Source: Sea plantations, Salem Massachusetts. Size (mean length): 22 mm (range of 17-25 mm) (mean weight): .20 g (range of .07-.32

Acclimation: 14 days

Feeding: Fed commercial dry pelleted food ad libitum except for 48 hours prior to testing

Container: 19 L glass aquaria

Test Solution Volume: ≈ 15 L

Test dilution water: Seawater from Cape Cod Canal, Bourne, Massachusetts -- the water was filtered through a 5- μ m porosity polypropylene core filter and an activated carbon canister

Preparation of solution: Prepared by adding the appropriate amounts of Sencor to 15 L seawater.

Nominal concentrations: 100, 60, 36, 23, 13, and 7.8 ppm.

Concentration: Measured day 0 and day 4

Salinity: 32 ppt Temperature: 20 C+2

Photoperiod: 16:8 light (fluorescent):dark

Aerated: No

Control(s): Unfiltered sea water Replicates: 2 per treatment level

Organisms per treatment level: 10 per replicate

Feeding: Unfed

12. Reported Results:

DO: $108 \rightarrow 60\%$ (with a low of 57%) for control; $108 \rightarrow 58\%$ (with a low of 57%) for all test groups

pH: $7.8 \rightarrow 7.1$ (control pH: $7.6 \rightarrow 7.1$)

Mean measured concentrations of Sencor ranged from 102 to 8.3 ppm (table 1, attached)

Mortalities occurred at 102 ppm

 $LD_{50} = 85 ppm$

95% Confidence interval: 60-102 ppm

NOEL: 60 ppm

13. Study Author's Conclusions/Quality Assurance Measures:

96 hour EC₅₀: 85 ppm

95% Confidence Limits: 60-102 ppm.

NOEL: 60 ppm

A GLP statement complying with FIFR, 40 CFR part 160 was signed by the study director, Mr. Robert E. Bently. A quality assurance statement was included and a quality assurance audit was performed to ensure that the study was conducted in accordance with Good Laboratory Practice regulations and the protocols for individual laboratory studies.

14. Reviewer's Discussion:

A. Test Procedure-The test procedures were in accordance with Subdivision E and SEP guidelines with the following exceptions:

No raw data was submitted.

Either there was no adjustment period or no mention of an adjustment period between light and dark.

Although the average weight of the minnows was .20 g, some fish (how many is not ascertainable from the data provided) from the low end of the weight range were too small. SEP guidelines recommend a range of .1 - 5 g whereas the weight range of this study was 0.07 - .32 g.

The pH was too low, with a range of 7.6-7.1, rather than the 8 - 8.3 pH recommended by SEP guidelines.

An area of confusion exists under the study author's conclusions: it is stated that "No mortalities or adverse effects were observed among fish exposed to Sencor concentrations of < 60 mg/L. The NOEL for Sheepshead minnows exposed to sencor is 60 mg/L."

- B. Statistical Analysis The LC_{50} (attached) was calculated by the binomial test using EPA's Toxanal computer program.
- C. Discussion of Results The results indicate that Sencor is slightly toxic to the Sheepshead minnow.

The reported LC_{50} was 85 ppm, with a 95% confidence interval of 60-102 ppm. The reported NOEL was 60 ppm. Raw data is necessary for confirmation.

The low weight of some of the fish probably did not effect the results of the study

4

According to the cumulative data submitted, there were no mortalities or effects at 60 ppm (not at <u>less</u> than 60 ppm) as the study author reported. Again, the raw data is necessary to clarify this point.

The lack of adjustment period between light and dark is not thought to have affected the results of the study.

The pH was slightly low for saltwater, but likely not low enough to have effected the results of this study.

D. Category of Study-Supplemental

Rationale: Raw data is necessary for statistical analyses and clarification.

Repairable: Yes, if raw data is submitted.

15. Completion of One Liner: Completed, August 13, 1991.

16. CBI Attachments: N/A

Matribuzin
Page is not included in this copy.
Pages through are not included.
The material not included contains the following type of information:
Identity of product inert ingredients.
Identity of product impurities.
Description of the product manufacturing process.
Description of quality control procedures.
Identity of the source of product ingredients.
Sales or other commercial/financial information A draft product label.
The product confidential statement of formula.
/ Information about a pending registration action.
FIFRA registration data.
The document is a duplicate of page(s)
The document is not responsive to the request.
The information not included is generally considered confidenti by product registrants. If you have any questions, please conta the individual who prepared the response to your request.

MAIN # 420945-02

Addendum to the DER of the Acute Sheepshead Minnow Study

Discussion

The raw data showed that there was no mortality neither physical of behavioral abnormalities in fishes at 60 mg/L treatment neither at lower concentrations. This observations confirmed the NOEL as 60 mg/L.

Classification of the study: Core

Conchi Rodriquez Sencor Acute Cypridon

****	*****	******	*******	**********
CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
102	20	17	85	.1288414
60	20	0	0	9.536742E-05
36	20	0	0	9.536742E-05
23	20	0	0	9.536742E-05
13	20	0	0	9.536742E-05
8 3	20	0	Ô	9 536742E-05

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 60 AND 102 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 84.65261

WHEN THERE ARE LESS THAN TWO CONCENTRATIONS AT WHICH THE PERCENT DEAD IS BETWEEN 0 AND 100, NEITHER THE MOVING AVERAGE NOR THE PROBIT METHOD CAN GIVE ANY STATISTICALLY SOUND RESULTS.

-	is not included in this copy.
	through M are not included.
Pages	through \ \ are not included.
	material not included contains the following type of mation:
	Identity of product inert ingredients.
	Identity of product impurities.
	Description of the product manufacturing process.
	Description of quality control procedures.
	Identity of the source of product ingredients.
	Sales or other commercial/financial information.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A draft product label.
	The product confidential statement of formula.
	Information about a pending registration action.
1	FIFRA registration data.
,	The document is a duplicate of page(s)
	The document is not responsive to the request.
The	information not included is generally considered confidentia roduct registrants. If you have any questions, please contact